

CFA LEVEL 1

Must-Understand CFA Relations

E-BOOK

SAMPLE

nal distribution always equa	ıls So for p	olatykurtic distributior	ıs, kurtosis
and for leptokurtic one	s, greater than	·	
•	•	<i>r</i> ery low or very hig	h ones) is
·		·	
erformance of two investm	nent funds, the fund		
	and for leptokurtic one of a normal distribution is The excess the probability of extreme case of a normal distribution are used to complete are perceived as better, cient of variation, the greater of two investments of two investments.	and for leptokurtic ones, greater than of a normal distribution is For The excess kurtosis of platy the probability of extreme results (namely vecase of a normal distribution. exact case of a normal distribution.	arpe ratio are used to compare the performance of portfolios. Portios are perceived as better, as they achieve greater mean excess retuction are perceived as better as they achieve greater mean excess retuction are perceived as better, as they achieve greater mean excess retuction are perceived as better, as they achieve greater mean excess retuction are perceived as better, as they achieve greater the So, if – for the perceived are perceived as better, as they achieve greater the

Find answers on the next page \rightarrow

- → The kurtosis of a normal distribution always equals **3**. So for platykurtic distributions, kurtosis is less than **3** and for leptokurtic ones, greater than **3**.
- → The excess kurtosis of a normal distribution is **zero**. For leptokurtic distributions, excess kurtosis is **greater than zero**. The excess kurtosis of platykurtic distributions is **less than zero**.
- → Fat tails means that the probability of extreme results (namely very low or very high ones) is **higher** than in the case of a normal distribution.
- → The values of the Sharpe ratio are used to compare the performance of portfolios. Portfolios with **higher** Sharpe ratios are perceived as better, as they achieve greater mean excess return per unit of standard deviation.

$$S_{R} = \frac{\overline{R}_{p} - \overline{R}_{f}}{s_{p}}$$

Where:

- \overline{R}_{p} mean return on the portfolio,
- \overline{R}_f mean return on a risk-free asset,
- $\mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\triangleright}}} \quad \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} = \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} = \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} = \mbox{\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}} \mbox{\e$
- → The greater the coefficient of variation, the greater the **risk per unit of the** arithmetic mean. So, if for example you compare the performance of two investment funds, the fund with the greater coefficient of variation will be characterized by **greater risk**.

$$CV = \frac{s}{\overline{X}}$$

Where:

- CV coefficient of variation,
- \overline{X} sample mean,
- s sample standard deviation.

....

find out more at:

https://analyst.guide/#relations